

REMARKS

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

Applicants respectfully thank the Examiner for indicating that claims 1-14 stand as being allowable.

CLAIM AMENDMENTS

Applicants have canceled claim 15.

Applicants have amended claim 18 to include the limitations of claims 15 and have further amended claim 18 to recite “a first wall” as opposed to “the first wall.”

Applicants have amended claim 17 to include the limitations of claims 15 and 18., which has the further effect of rectifying the Examiner’s rejection of Claim 18 under 35 USC § 112.

Applicants have amended claims 16, and 19-22 to properly depend from claim 18.

Applicants respectfully request the Examiner enter the instant claim amendments after final as the instant amendments place the application in condition for allowance or in better form and/or further limit matters which may be presented on appeal.

Applicants respectfully submit that the instant amendments were not previously submitted as the current rejections are based on claims that were first presented in the Applicants previous reply. Additionally, the current grounds of rejection are based on newly cited art references not previously considered.

CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 USC § 112

The Examiner rejected claim 18 under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter the applicant regards as the invention. More specifically, the Examiner indicated that the claim recitation of “the first wall” lacked sufficient antecedent basis.

Applicants have amended claims 18 to recite “a first wall” as opposed to “the first wall” thereby obviating the rejection. Applicants respectfully submit that in view of the amendment of claim 18, claim 18 stands allowable.

Applicants respectfully request the Examiner withdraw the rejection.

CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 USC § 102

The Examiner rejected claims 15, 16, 17 and 19-22 under 35 USC § 102 as being anticipated by one of Regueiro or Mizuno.

Claim 15 has been canceled thereby rendering the rejection thereof moot.

Claim 18 has been rewritten in independent form to include the limitations of independent claim 15 thereby rendering claim 18 allowable.

Claim 17 has been rewritten in independent form to include the limitations of claim 15 and claim 18.

Applicants respectfully submit that claims 16 and 19-22 have been amended to depend from allowable claim 18, such that by virtue of their dependency therefrom, they are also allowable.

In view thereof, withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Serial No.: 10/605,587
Confirmation No.: 2586
Applicant: BERGLUND, Joakim
Atty. Ref.: 7589.0066.NPUS01

CONCLUSION


Applicants respectfully submit that the instant application is now in condition for allowance, which action is courteously requested.

The undersigned representative requests any extension of time that may be deemed necessary to further the prosecution of this application.

The undersigned representative authorizes the Commissioner to charge any additional fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 or 1.17 that may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 14-1437, Order No. 7589.0066.NPUS01.

In order to facilitate the resolution of any issues or questions presented by this paper, the Examiner should directly contact the undersigned by phone to further the discussion.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tracy Druce", written in a cursive style.

Tracy Druce
Patent Attorney
Reg. No. 35,493
Tel. +1.713.571.3400